### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	TENT COOPER	ATION TREA	TY RA.		
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR:	ITY		WS.		
То:			PCT PCT		
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE TONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference NISHI-PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION  See paragraph 2 below			
International application No.	International filing date (	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/JP2004/019510	27.12.2004		05.01.2004		
Applicant NISHIZAWA, Yasuo					
This opinion contains indications related to the second seco	ting to the following items	s:			
	-				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention				
	atement under Rule 43bis.  7; citations and explanation		novelty, inventive step or industrial tement		
Box No. VI Certain doct	uments cited				
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION					
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified	ot that this does not ap I the International Bu	ill be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other reau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of		
If this opinion is, as provided above, written reply together, where approp PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a n of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.		
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	A/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/019510

Box	ι No. I	I Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	ith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it wed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	v as
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (und	ler
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.		ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claim rention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ıed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	i as
4.	Add	dditional comments:	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/019510

Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	Y1
	Claims 1-9	No.
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	Y1
	Claims 1-9	N
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-9	Y
	Claims	N

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

List of documents cited in the ISR

- 1. Kenichi TOMURA, Tatakau Kensaku Engine [Kohen] Design no Ii Web ga Yoi Web janai!, ASCI I, 01 May 2002, Vol.26, No.5, pp.137-144
- 2. Yoichi YAMAZAKI, "SEO" wo Jissen suru Kigyo ga Zouka Web Page ya Saito Kousei wo Saiteki-ka, Nikkei Internet Solutions, 22 November 2002, No.65, pp.60-61

### Claims 1-9

The inventions described in claims 1-9 do not appear to be novel based on document 1 or 2.

Document 1 describes editing a web page of a site that an SEO company is entrusted to so as to optimize the same with respect to a search engine based on a content of an access log and the like. Document 2 has a similar description.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT/JP2004/019510 Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by The claims describe a Make robot for generating an optimal page configuration; however, by performing specifically what kind of computer process, such Make robot generates an optimal page configuration is not fully supported in the meaning of PCT Article 6. Claim 2 describes inside of said transaction platform; however, the said is unclear in what it indicates because claim 2 does not cite from claim 1. Similar descriptions can be found in other sections in claim 2 and claims 3-9.